

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,352	01/23/2004	Gary Carlson	200209331-1	7104
22879	7590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPA	GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL		
P O BOX 27	72400, 3404 E. HARMON TUAL PROPERTY ADM	IY ROAD IINISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LINS, CO 80527-2400	invisite trioiv	. 2852	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,352	CARLSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quana M. Grainger	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - 'Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	av 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-14,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		a	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>1-23-2004</u> is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the on Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			121/d\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 7, 13-14, and 16-17 are objected to because of the following informalities.

Claim 2 recites idiomatic English in line 3. Claim 7 recites a document in addition to a media sheet in the laminated composite, is this intentional? Claim 13 recites a printing device in the preamble but does not recite printing means. Claim 13 also recites a printable media sheet, what is meant by printable. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the automatic feed tray for laminates and the alternative transfer bypass path must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Application/Control Number: 10/763,352

Art Unit: 2852

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-8, 12-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo et al. (5,894,318) in view of Hooper et al (6,816,686). Endo et al. teaches a method of using a printing device comprising receiving a laminate request via the user interface, adjusting the fusing temperature and speed, laminating a document surrounded by laminate sheet material (figures 4, 6, 9a, 9b, 10). The document and laminate sheet materials are inserted

Application/Control Number: 10/763,352 Page 4

Art Unit: 2852

through the manual feed tray and bypass the transfer mechanism before being fused in the toner fuser (column 11, lines 25-53). Endo et al. does not teach adjusting the characteristics of the toner fuser.

Hooper et al. teaches changing the characteristics of the toner fuser based on the type of media and adjusting the speed or pressure when laminating a document with laminating material (figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Hooper et al. with the image forming device of Endo et al. to ensure proper fusing of a varied type of media (Hooper et al., column 1, line 6 – column 2, line 44).

6. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo et al. in view of Hooper et al. in view of Fukushima. Endo et al. does not discuss displaying instructions on the display device.

Fukushima teaches displaying manual feed instruction on the device display and instructions on how to operate the other features of the printing device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Fukushima with the printing device of Endo et al. to provide instructions are needed for operating the printing device.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 9 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Endo et al. does not teach bypassing the transfer mechanism.

However, according to figure 8, the transfer mechanism is not activated during the lamination mode.

Thus, the claims remain rejected as discussed above.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quana M. Grainger whose telephone number is 571-272-2135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primar∳Examiner Quana Grainger

Art Unit: 2852